Part Two — Promotion and Adoption of Textbook Affordability and Affordable Course Materials: Forensic Science
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As the Science Librarian at University of Central Florida Libraries (UCF Libraries), which is a subject liaison librarian position housed in the Research and Information Services Department (Reference), there are many opportunities to connect with faculty to present library led initiatives that support institutional goals and to share strategies on pedagogical success vis-à-vis utilizing the library’s resources and services. Serving in this role has allowed for several librarian-faculty interactions leading to the successful promotion and adoption of course materials sourced by the library. For this case study, a reflection of how textbook affordability has been promoted to the UCF Forensic Science faculty will be highlighted and the process for the successful adoption of library sourced materials as the official class textbook will be discussed. The process will be outlined in a series of four steps, 1) establishing an “in,” and developing the relationship, 2) why it matters- sharing library initiatives and their importance to wider institutional goals, 3) the quick turnaround-providing timely options across the program curriculum, and 4) working the “back and forth”- staying in constant communication in order to seal the deal.

Finding ways to successfully market library led initiatives to liaison faculty can be a daunting task for any academic subject librarian. The establishment of a strong relationship is key in this process as information shared with faculty about library led promotions serving a wider institutional mission are not always freely known. In every encounter with a faculty member regarding their library instruction needs or at the invitation to present at a departmental faculty meeting, the subject librarian must be prepared to share the new initiatives and be ready to field inquiries as questions arise. In the case of the forensic science faculty at UCF, working with the program chair on an upcoming accreditation visit where UCF Libraries’ staff is instrumental in providing library analyzes and the need to be prepped for an on-site interview, allowed for the first “in” with the departmental faculty. This first step is instrumental in establishing rapport and demonstrating the importance of a mutually beneficial relationship between teaching faculty and the non-teaching faculty librarian. Working to develop and foster these relationships where there is a foreseeable need, helps to facilitate dialogue beyond the simple one-off request for class library instruction.

In providing specific support to an academic department, the subject librarian gains trust from their faculty and is provided with an opportunity to show the librarian’s expertise in following through on a request that is of vital importance to the forward progression of departmental goals. When things go well, the faculty are more inclined to listen to new ideas and mutually beneficial campus wide initiatives once initial relationships have
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developed and as primary faculty needs have been met. Moving past the first step of establishing the “in” can be
the biggest hurdle for some subject librarians and this can range in difficulty depending on the nature of each
academic department. Finding those nuances and working the needs of each department is instrumental in es-
stablishing strong relationships with impactful reciprocation. In our case, after the librarian successfully passed
the accreditation visit and all it entailed throughout the year’s process, the faculty understood their subject li-
brarian’s level of commitment and were more open listen to initiatives the library was promoting.

Once the initial relationships have been built up and the faculty-librarian bond has grown, it is time for the
next step: why it matters—sharing library initiatives and their importance to wider institutional goals. In this step
the librarian begins the discussion on important library promotions which in this case is about textbook afford-
ability. Building on the initial faculty led need for librarian support, with the library providing needed expertise
in return, there is a chance for the subject librarian to rise to the occasion to present new promotions/services.
However, the introduction needs to be presented in a way that provides context into why it all matters to every-
one involved and how it helps to serve a wider overarching institutional mission.

The discussion begins with the introduction to textbook affordability by defining terminology and present-
ing impactful statistics that get the faculty’s attention. It helps to have the library’s support in creating profes-
sional marketing materials that can be shared with faculty when meeting in person. Never underestimate the
power that thumbing through a brochure or pamphlet can have on leaving an impression and it serves to provide
documentation that can be shared with other faculty colleagues after the liaison librarian visit is over. Creating
a conversation around how the rising cost of textbooks affects faculty directly and having specific departmental
statistics can be handy to show the impact to students in one area. This will help to drill the message home and
to leave a mental mark on the significant dilemma rising textbook costs play on overall student success. Sharing
personal stories from our students helps the faculty relate and provides a context as to why they should opt for
considering an alternative to a high-priced textbook.

For the case of our forensic science faculty, they were very intrigued by the issues of rising textbooks and
they are aware of their own students who struggle with making ends meet. They were able to quickly connect
the dots and to put the larger perspective into a localized example of how potentially they can help their students
by considering the adoption of a new affordable course textbook. Next, as the subject librarian liaison, expert
on locating suitable resources, the faculty will be provided with potential options for their course textbook. In
showing faculty why it matters, the librarian provides information on how bad the problem is and how it affects
students directly. Shining a light on the problem allows for an opportunity to discuss potential alternatives and
for the librarian to work on suitable options based on criteria that the faculty provides for locating appropriate
resources. The librarian made it successfully past step two only after the groundwork was laid on establishing the
“in” and in providing the context for how textbook affordability affects us all.

After making a case for why it matters, the next step is the quick turnaround. Being swift here is key since we
don’t want to lose momentum or the faculty’s interest in locating a suitable alternative to their current textbook.
Getting key information from the faculty regarding course textbook requirements, reviewing the course syllabus
to examine topical areas that might inform on appropriate textbook options, and asking faculty what kinds of
things their ideal course textbook should have, are the first bits of information the librarian should collect. This
is precisely the information collected from our forensic science faculty. The subject librarian requested specific
information and was able to gain a quick understanding of what kinds of resources they might be interested in
looking at.

Something else to consider for tracking metrics later, the class title, cost of current course text, and the num-
ber of students enrolled in the class each semester are things to keep track of so that once a new book is adopted,
direct student textbook savings across campus can be determined. Another item to discuss will be the types of resources faculty are okay with using for the course textbook. Questions like, would they consider using open educational resources if any are found in their subject area, or would they think about creating their own open educational text based on work they have pulled together? Knowing how comfortable a faculty member is with non-traditional textbook options is important as it will aid the librarian in their search.

For our forensic science faculty, they were not interested in creating their own text and they really liked the idea of locating a library sourced material that was suitable as a DRM-free eBook option that could be purchased under a multi-user license which would allow their large seated class sizes to have simultaneous users logged into the book at the same time. Knowing that this was an option really helped the subject librarian hone in on how to track down potential titles for the adoption of a new course textbook.

Once initial information is collected on what the faculty textbook requirements are, and a wish list has been created, the librarian gets to work and fast. Providing the quick turnaround to the faculty with a list of potential affordable resources they can examine as an alternative to their current textbook, gives them confidence to make a positive change that will help their students. If too much time passes, both faculty and subject librarian can get busy and move onto other projects making the adoption of new affordable textbook less likely. Make this a priority to turnaround a list of materials in one week's time if possible and try to set up a face-to-face meeting to go over potential options. Providing detail in an in person setting allows for the librarian to stay in front of the project and shows the library's willingness to go over and beyond in assisting faculty with this need.

In the case of our forensic science faculty, an in-person meeting was set but the faculty member did not have enough time to go through options ahead of the meeting. Much of the time spent at the first sit down was to go through resources one by one and to look them up online. A good thing to keep in mind is that if the subject librarian can bring a laptop computer to the meeting, it is a plus. Being able to share resources with faculty in addition to them looking things up on their end is helpful. In our case, the librarian was successfully able to show the forensic science faculty member the appropriate table of contents and to share other useful information about textbooks that they would not have been able to do on their own or without having a second device to use.

Another thing the subject librarian did during this meeting was to share resources from the library's book ordering system, GOBI. By showing faculty what options there are via the online library ordering software system, they are getting to see every book that is available within a topic and the faculty/librarian team can interchange and work through a variety of suitable options fast and easy. This is what happened in our case and it was only by way of using GOBI that the forensic science faculty member was able to hone in on two potential titles they were interested in adopting as their new course text. Getting down to the two options this quickly would not have happened without both parties being willing to do the work and to sit down and go through the process. The librarian came prepared and knowledgeable on what books were available, how to search for other potential titles, and knowing alternatives to find resources in several online eBook portals with ease. This all took some practice and working through searches well ahead of the face-to-face meeting with faculty and it is something that is highly recommended each subject librarian do. Once the quick turnaround has been presented we move on to the next step which involves staying on top of the project to seal the deal.

The last step requires constant communication and “working the back and forth” where again, time is of the essence. After the initial face to face meeting has occurred and the faculty is left with a list of potential textbook options for future adoption, the ball is left in the faculty’s court. But they need to be aware there is a two-way street game going on. So, following up and staying in direct and consistent communication with faculty is vitally important. Make sure to let faculty know that a follow up email will be placed in a suitable time after the initial meeting has taken place. A good time to suggest might be within a one- or two-week timeframe to see how they
feel about it. If that seems fine, then stay on top of things, create an alert via an online email calendar that will serve as a reminder to get back to them on that date. Do not allow extra time to pass, stay with it and be diligent. The goal is to get an alternative title adopted that will be free or at a reduced price for the student.

In staying on top of things, the librarian reinforces the idea of how important textbook affordability is as an overarching issue impeding student success and it helps faculty to connect to how they can play a role in combating the issue on their campus and for their own students. Also, as the librarian gets close to sealing the deal with faculty, they are providing follow through and attentiveness that portrays them as a partner in this process and not as a service provider outright. This relationship building can help later on as other mutually beneficial situations may arise where the faculty and librarian will need to work together. In the case with our UCF forensic science faculty, the librarian followed up with the two titles, assisting in acquiring a review copy via interlibrary loan for the faculty to look through initially. With the librarian having gone the extra mile to have acquired physical copies of the books for review, the faculty was the one who then quickly reached out to inform their subject liaison librarian that they had selected a new book and would be ready to adopt it for the following fall term which was two semesters away.

Through the culmination of persistent hard work and through the evolution of four steps- 1) establishing an “in”, 2) showing why it matters, 3) providing a quick turnaround, and 4) working the “back and forth” to seal the deal, one hundred students for all future introduction to forensic science classes taught at UCF by one faculty member will save over $120 dollars each on their course textbook. For one class alone, the saving is $12,000. Since the process worked well, the subject librarian to the forensic science program has now received two other requests to go through the same process with other course and by other faculty members in the same department. Good news travels fast as once one successful adoption has taken place in a department or program, it can lead to many more.
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